

Original Research Article

<https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.391>

Genetic Variability Studies for Different Attributes in Carrot Genotypes (*Daucus carota* L.) under *Kharif* Season

J.R. Meghashree*, C.N. Hanchinamani, H.P. Hadimani, Sandhyarani Nishani, S.H. Ramanagouda and Chandrakant Kamble

Department of Vegetable Science, K. R. C. College of Horticulture, Arabhavi - 591 218, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Keywords

Daucus carota, Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), Heritability, Genetic advance over mean and genotypes

Article Info

Accepted:
24 August 2018
Available Online:
10 December 2018

Twenty five genotypes of carrot were evaluated for different traits in a randomized complete block design with two replications. The variation was observed for all the parameters studied. Root weight, root/top length ratio, total yield/plot, total yield/ha, cortex thickness, TSS, β -carotene content, ascorbic acid content, total phenol, protein, root forking and root splitting showed high genotypic coefficient of variation and phenotypic coefficient of variation. High heritability was observed for all the characters except number of leaves/plant, petiole length, root diameter, root length and days to first root harvest. High genetic advance over mean was observed for all the characters except number of leaves/plant, petiole thickness, root diameter, root length and days to first root harvest.

Introduction

Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) is most important root crop worldwide nutritionally and belongs to the family Umbelliferae (Apiaceae) with chromosome number $2n=18$. Carrot is originated from Southwestern Asia, especially Afghanistan (Banga, 1976).

It is a popular cool season vegetable. In temperate region, it is cultivated during spring and summer season, while in tropical region during winter season. It is grown as biennial for seed production and annual for its roots.

In India, carrot is mainly cultivated in the states of Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. In Karnataka, carrot is mainly cultivated in the districts of Kolar, Chikkaballapur, Belagavi, Bengaluru Rural, Gulbarga and Bidar. The ideal quality root should have minimum core, maximum cortex and a minimum difference between the colour intensities of these tissues. The carrot is used for salad and as cooked vegetable. It is used for making fermented product Kanji. Carrot seeds are used for flavouring. The colour is extracted and used for colouring foods. The gajarhalwa, pickles, soups, sweet

meat, pies and preserves are prepared from the tender roots. It is used to cure ulcers, burns, scalds and jaundice (Rana, 2008).

To formulate effective breeding programme, the critical assessment of nature and magnitude of variability in the germplasm stock is one of the important pre-requisite (Janaki *et al.*, 2015). The magnitude of genetic variability present in germplasm is proportional to the crop improvement. Greater variability affords the greater chances for effective selection for desirable types (Vavilov, 1951). The variability, heritability and genetic advance were relative measures of the efficiency of selection of genotype from a highly variable population based on phenotype (Santhi *et al.*, 2015). Heritability was an indicator for measuring the relative influence of environment on expression of genotypes (Jain *et al.*, 2010). Heritability along with genetic advance was the genetic gain expected from selection (Johnson *et al.*, 1955). Keeping in view the above points as land marks, the present investigation was conducted.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation was carried out during the *kharif* season, 2017-18 at Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Belagavi district (Karnataka). The details of the experiment, materials used and methodology followed during the course of investigation were described below. Twenty five genotypes of carrot collected from different sources including one recommended variety Hisar Gairic as check were used for the present experiment. Details of the genotypes used in the study were presented in Table 1. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with two replications. Between the rows, a distance of 30 cm was maintained and 10 cm between the plants within the each plot. The standard package of practice was followed for raising

the crop. The observations on various parameters were recorded from five randomly selected plants for each treatment in each replication. The mean values of various parameters were subjected to analysis of variance as described by Gomez and Gomez (1983). Statistical analyses were carried out using INDOSTAT software. The magnitude of variation present in a parameter both genotypically and phenotypically were calculated based on the value of genotypic and phenotypic variance according to Burton and Devane (1953). The broad sense heritability was calculated as the ratio of genotypic variance to the phenotypic variance and expressed in percentage (Falconer, 1981) and categorized into different scales according to Searle (1965). The ratio of genetic advance over population general mean as percentage was worked out according to Johnson *et al.*, (1955).

Results and Discussion

The components of genetic parameters for yield and its attributes exhibited a wide range of variability for the parameters studied. The values of phenotypic co-efficient of variation were of higher in magnitude than that of genotypic co-efficient of variation for all the characters indicating that the environment played an important role in influencing the expression of the traits. The heritability was worked out for all the traits by the variance components. Genetic gain was computed to accomplish the comparison of the traits in relation to environment. The variability parameters of all the genotypes were furnished here under Table 2.

High genotypic coefficient of variation was noticed for root weight, root/top length ratio, total yield/plot, total yield/ha, cortex thickness, TSS, β -carotene content, ascorbic acid content, total phenol, protein, root forking and root splitting. The simple selection was

highly effective for further improvement and no wide difference between PCV and GCV was observed among all the characters. Plant height at 60 DAS, plant height at harvest, petiole length, leaf length, core diameter, core thickness, total sugars and reducing sugars exhibited moderate estimates of GCV. The reasonable amount of variability was found and can be used in further crop improvement. GCV was noted low for number of leaves/plant, petiole thickness, root diameter, root length and days to first root harvest. This indicates that, there was a less chance of improving these traits by direct visual

selection. These results were also noticed by previous researchers such as Dod *et al.*, (2013), Prajapati *et al.*, (2014), Sivathanu *et al.*, (2014), Mallikarjunarao *et al.*, (2015), Priya and Santhi (2015), Nagar *et al.*, (2016) and Teli *et al.*,(2017).

Plant height at 60 DAS, petiole length, root weight, root/top length ratio, total yield/plot, total yield/ha, cortex thickness, TSS, β -carotene content, ascorbic acid content, total phenol, protein, root forking and root splitting showed high phenotypic coefficient of variation.

Table.1 List of genotypes with their sources used in the experiment

Sl. No.	Entry	Source
1.	VRCAR – 90	IIVR, Varanasi
2.	VRCAR - 109	IIVR, Varanasi
3.	VRCAR-117	IIVR, Varanasi
4.	VRCAR-126	IIVR, Varanasi
5.	VRCAR-127	IIVR, Varanasi
6.	VRCAR-153	IIVR, Varanasi
7.	VRCAR-178	IIVR, Varanasi
8.	VRCAR-179	IIVR, Varanasi
9.	VRCAR-184	IIVR, Varanasi
10.	VRCAR-186	IIVR, Varanasi
11.	VRCAR-197	IIVR, Varanasi
12.	VRCAR-199	IIVR, Varanasi
13.	VRCAR-201	IIVR, Varanasi
14.	HUB-1	KRCCH, Arabhavi
15.	HUB-2	L C from Bangalore
16.	HUB-3	L C from Dharwad
17.	HUB-4	L C from Dharwad
18.	HUB-5	KRCCH, Arabhavi
19.	HUB-6	L C from Ghataprabha
20.	HUB-7	KRCCH, Arabhavi
21.	HUB-8	L C from Koppal
22.	HUB-9	L C from Mahisyala
23.	HUB-10	L C from Mudalgi
24.	HUB-11	L C from Upparhatti
25.	HisarGairic*	HAU, Hisar

*Check cultivar

HAU: Hisar Agriculture University, Hisar, Haryana

IIVR: Indian Institute of Vegetable Science, Varanasi, UP

Table.2 Estimates of mean, range, components of variance, heritability and genetic advance for growth, yield and quality parameters in carrot (*Kharif* season)

Sl. No.	Character	Range	Mean	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (%)	GAM (%)
A.	Growth parameters						
1.	Plant height at 60 DAS (cm)	31.55 - 75.75	52.82	18.02	21.05	73.31	31.79
2.	Plant height at harvest (cm)	45.65 - 85.80	64.60	12.99	14.77	77.35	23.54
3.	Number of leaves per plant	8.30 - 12.20	10.88	6.36	9.33	46.46	8.93
4.	Petiole thickness (mm)	1.36 - 2.12	1.66	8.29	9.70	73.04	14.59
5.	Petiole length (cm)	14.22 - 26.79	20.96	14.09	20.12	49.00	20.31
6.	Leaf length (cm)	18.21 - 36.75	28.69	15.61	17.45	80.05	28.78
B.	Yield parameters						
7.	Root weight (g)	22.03 - 56.91	36.82	23.47	24.53	91.52	46.25
8.	Root diameter (cm)	2.01 - 3.61	2.88	9.70	12.79	57.57	15.17
9.	Root length (cm)	11.02 - 17.94	13.83	9.87	15.21	42.15	13.20
10.	Core diameter (mm)	11.28 - 20.43	15.88	14.47	16.01	81.72	26.96
11.	Root /top length ratio	0.35 - 0.82	0.50	20.95	26.53	62.39	34.10
12.	Days to first root harvest	99.00 - 108.00	103.70	1.78	2.32	58.99	2.82
13.	Total yield per plot (kg)	3.30 - 8.53	5.52	23.47	24.53	91.53	46.25
14.	Total yield per hectare (t)	7.34 - 18.97	12.27	23.46	24.52	91.53	46.24

GCV = Genotypic co-efficient of variation	h² = Heritability (broad sense)
PCV = Phenotypic co-efficient of variation	GAM = Genetic advance over mean
DAS = Days after sowing	

Contd...

Sl. No.	Character	Range	Mean	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (%)	GAM (%)
Biophysical parameters							
15.	Core thickness (mm)	6.25 - 10.87	8.33	15.81	17.66	80.12	29.15
16.	Cortex thickness (mm)	3.22 - 8.36	5.60	21.37	22.19	92.75	42.41
C. Quality parameters							
17.	TSS (°Brix)	3.95 - 8.55	5.70	21.90	22.22	97.15	44.48
18.	β-carotene content (μg/100 g)	1640.0 - 3918.0	2358.52	26.44	26.75	97.68	53.83
19.	Total sugars (%)	2.31 - 3.83	2.93	11.72	12.54	87.35	22.57
20.	Ascorbic acid content (mg/100 g)	1.25 - 5.02	2.80	41.64	41.73	99.56	85.59
Biochemical parameters							
21.	Total phenol (mg/100 g)	3.13 - 10.10	6.29	29.13	29.28	98.95	59.69
22.	Protein (%)	0.24 - 0.70	0.42	25.70	25.96	97.94	52.39
23.	Reducing sugars (%)	1.27 - 2.81	1.88	19.10	19.71	93.94	38.15
D. Physiological disorders							
24.	Root forking (%)	0.29 - 4.49	2.90	34.87	35.17	98.26	71.20
25.	Root splitting (%)	0.00 - 4.59	2.77	49.24	49.46	99.11	100.99

GCV = Genotypic co-efficient of variation

h² = Heritability (broad sense)

PCV = Phenotypic co-efficient of variation

GAM = Genetic advance over mean

Since, narrow differences were observed between GCV and PCV indicated that the selection was an effective tool to improve these traits. Moderate estimates of PCV were exhibited by plant height at harvest, leaf length, root diameter, root length, core diameter, core thickness, total sugars and reducing sugars. Number of leaves/plant, petiole thickness and days to first root harvest exhibited low PCV values. This indicates that, there was a less chance of improving these parameters by direct selection. These results were in line with Naseeruddin *et al.*, (2011), Kumar *et al.*, (2012), Dod *et al.*, (2013), Sivathanu *et al.*,(2014), Mallikarjunarao *et al.*, (2015), Priya and Santhi (2015), Dhillon *et al.*, (2016) and Teli *et al.*,(2017).

Heritability was recorded high for plant height at 60 DAS, plant height at harvest, petiole thickness, leaf length, root weight, core diameter, root/top length ratio, total yield/plot, total yield/ha, core thickness, cortex thickness, TSS, β -carotene content, total sugars, ascorbic acid content, total phenol, protein, reducing sugars, root forking and root splitting. High heritability indicates less influence of environment and controlled by additive gene action. The selection was easy for all these traits. Number of leaves/plant, petiole length, root diameter, root length and days to first root harvest showed moderate heritability. These traits were accountable to considerable influence by extraneous factors. This result is compliance with earlier researchers like Yadav *et al.*, (2009), Jain *et al.*, (2010), Amin and Singla (2010), Naseeruddin *et al.*, (2011), Gupta *et al.*, (2012), Sivathanu *et al.*, (2014), Prajapati *et al.*, (2014), Priya and Santhi (2015), Mallikarjunarao *et al.*, (2015) and Teli *et al.*, (2017).

High genetic advance was recorded for plant height at 60 DAS, plant height at harvest, petiole length, leaf length, root weight, core

diameter, root/top length ratio, total yield/plot, total yield/ha, core thickness, cortex thickness, TSS, β -carotene content, total sugars, ascorbic acid content, total phenol, protein, reducing sugars, root forking and root splitting. Additive gene was controlling all these traits and made them to respond better for selection. These traits were least influenced by environmental factors and respond effectively for selection. Petiole thickness, root diameter and root length exhibited moderate estimates of genetic advance. The variability in these traits was due to both additive and non-additive gene action. Selection based on these traits was less effective in breeding programme. Number of leaves/plant and days to first root harvest recorded low genetic advance. These characters were governed by non-additive gene action and selection based on these parameters found not effective. The findings were similar to other studies of Gupta *et al.*, (2012), Kumar *et al.*, (2012), Asima *et al.*, (2013), Sivathanu *et al.*, (2014), Mallikarjunarao *et al.*, (2015), Datta *et al.*, (2015) and Teli *et al.*, (2017).

Thus, there was variation available among the traits, which can be exploited by selection or hybridization. Hence, due consideration to be given to diversity of these traits in future for improvement of yield. High GCV and PCV indicate that, there will be wide genetic base for future crop improvement programme. High heritability with genetic gain implies that, selection was effective to bring genetic improvement as traits controlled by additive gene action.

References

Amin, A. and Singla, J., 2010, Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies in carrot (*Daucus carota* var. *sativa* L.). *Electronic*

- Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1(6): 1504-1508.
- Asima, A., Kumar, S.P. and Parveen, W.K., 2013, Genotypic variation for quantitative and qualitative traits in Asiatic and European carrot (*Daucus carota* L. var. *sativa*). *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*, 26(2): 151-154.
- Banga, O., 1976, Carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) (Umbelliferae). In *Evolution of crop plants*, Simmond, N. W., (Eds) Longman Inc., New York, USA, pp. 291-293.
- Burton, G. W. and Devane, E. M., 1953, Estimating heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. *Agronomy Journal*, 45(3): 478-481.
- Datta, S., Mal, D. and Nimbalkar, K.H., 2015, Performance and variability studies of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) variety under Terai Zone of West Bengal. *Green Farming*, 6(6): 1269-1272.
- Dhillon, H.S., Dhillon, T.S. and Devi, R., 2016, Quality characterization in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) germplasm. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, 43(1).
- Dod, V. N., Kale, V. S., Nagare, P. K. and Wagh, A. P., 2013, Genetic variability and correlation studies in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). In: *National Symposium on Abiotic and Biotic Stress Management in Vegetable crops* held on 9th April, 2013 at IIVR, Varanasi, India, 3: 32-33.
- Falconer, D. S., 1981, Introduction to quantitative Genetics, 2nd Edition, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburg, London, pp. 164-176.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A., 1983, Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. *John Wiley and Sons Inc.*, New York, pp. 357-427.
- Gupta, A.J., Verma, T. S., Bhat, R. and Mufti, S., 2012, Studies on genetic variability and character association in temperate carrot. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 69(1): 75-78.
- Jain, Y. P., Dod, V.N., Nagare, P.K. and Kale, V.S., 2010, Genetic variability in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 5(2): 514-516.
- Janaki, M., Naidu, L. N., Ramana, C. V. and Rao, M.P., 2015, Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *The Bioscan*, 10(2): 729-733.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R.E., 1955, Genotypic and phenotypic correlations in soyabean and their implications in selection. *Agronomy Journal*, 47(7): 477-483.
- Kumar, R., Sharma, R., Gupta, R. K. and Singh, M., 2012, Determination of genetic variability and divergence for root yield and quality characters in temperate radishes. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, 18: 307-318.
- Mallikarjunarao, K., Singh, P.K., Vaidya, A., Pradhan, R. and Das, R.K., 2015, Genetic variability and selection parameters for different genotypes of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) under Kashmir valley. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*, 21(4): 361-364.
- Nagar, S. K., Paliwal, A., Tiwari, D., Upadhyay, S. and Bahuguna, P., 2016, Genetic variability, correlation and path study in radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) under near temperate conditions of Garhwal hills. *International Journal for Scientific Research and Development*, 4(9): 174-176.
- Naseeruddin, K., Pant, S. C., Tomar, Y. K. and Rana, D. K., 2011, Genetic variability and selection parameters for different genotypes of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.) under valley condition of

- Uttarakhand. *Progressive Horticulture*, 43(2): 256- 258.
- Prajapati, A., Tank, C.J., Gami, R.A., Ravindrababu, Y. and Chauhan, R.M., 2014, Genetic variability in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) under different environments. *GAU Research Journal*, 39(2): 102-108.
- Priya, P.A. and Santhi, V.P., 2015, Variability, character association and path analysis for yield and yield attributes in carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 6(3): 861-865.
- Rana, M. K., 2008, Olericulture in India. *Kalyani Publishers*, New Delhi, pp. 336-363.
- Santhi, V.P., Priya, P.A., Anita, B. and Selvaraj, N., 2015, Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in varieties of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 10(2): 136-141.
- Searle, S. R., 1965. The value of indirect selection in mass selection. *Biometrics*, 21: 682-707.
- Sivathanu, S., Yassin, G.M. and Kumar, S.R., 2014, Seasonal effect on variability and trait relationship in radish. *Research in Environment and Life Sciences*, 7(4): 275- 278.
- Teli, S.K., Kaushik, R.A., Ameta, K.D., Kapuriya, V.K., Mali, D. and Teli, L.K., 2017, Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in carrot (*Daucus carota* var. *sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(5): 2336-2342.
- Vavilov, N.I., 1951, The Origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica Botanica*, 13: 4-364.
- Yadav, M., Snigdha, T., Singh, D.B., Rashmi, C., Roshan, R.K. and Nongallei, P., 2009, Genetic variability, correlation coefficient and path analysis in carrot. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 66(3): 315-318.

How to cite this article:

Meghashree, J.R., C.N. Hanchinamani, H.P. Hadimani, Sandhyarani Nishani, S.H. Ramanagouda and Chandrakant Kamble. 2018. Genetic Variability Studies for Different Attributes in Carrot Genotypes (*Daucus carota* L.) under *Kharif* Season. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*. 7(12): 3419-3426. doi: <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.391>